arXiv:math-ph/0502032v1 9 Feb 2005

Yu. P. Chuburin

# INVERSE SCATTERING PROBLEM FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR WITH A SEPARABLE POTENTIAL

**Abstract**

We prove that the inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger operator with the separable potential can be reduced to the solving of a certain singular integral equation. We establish the uniqueness of the potential corresponding to given scattering amplitude in the class of separable potentials.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger operator in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$ of the form $H = H_0 + V$, where $H_0 = -\Delta$, $V = \lambda(\cdot, \psi_0)\psi_0$ is a separable potential with $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\psi_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$. We assume that $V \neq 0$.

In what follows, we use the notation

$$R_0(p)\phi(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{e^{ip|x-y|}}{|x-y|}\phi(y)dy, \tag{1}$$

where $\phi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $\operatorname{Im} p \geq 0$. In the case $E = p^2 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ the operator of the form (1) is the resolvent of the operator $H_0$ at the point $E$.

The Lippmann-Schwinger equation in the case under consideration has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x,k) &= e^{ik\cdot x} - R_0(|k| + i0)V\psi(x,k) = \\ &= e^{ik\cdot x} - \frac{\lambda(\psi, \psi_0)}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{e^{i|k||x-y|}}{|x-y|}\psi_0(y)dy \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $k \in \mathbf{R}^3$ and

$$(\psi, \psi_0) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \psi(x)\overline{\psi_0(x)}dx.$$

We consider this equation in the class $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$.

We denote the scattering amplitude by

$$f(|k|, n, \omega) = -\frac{\lambda(\psi, \psi_0)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} e^{-i|k|n\cdot y} \psi_0(y) dy \tag{3}$$

where $n = x/|x|$, $\omega = k/|k|$ (see [1]). By an inverse scattering problem we mean a finding of the potential $V$ using the scattering amplitude $f$ given for all (perhaps, sufficiently large) energies $E = k^2$. (This is the third kind of the inverse problem in [1]).

In the sequel, we use the notation

$$\hat{\phi}(k) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} e^{-ik\cdot x} \phi(x) dx,\ \phi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$$

for the Fourier transform and $\check{\phi}$ for the inverse Fourier transform. We shall denote by

$$S\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\phi(y)}{y - x} dy$$

the singular integral operator (here we regard the principal value of the integral). This operator acting, in particular, in the space $L^2(\mathbf{R}^1)$ (see [2]).

## 2 Main equation

We assume that the function $\psi_0$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(1 + x^2)\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^3),\ \psi_0 \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^3) \cap L^{4/3}(\mathbf{R}^3), \tag{4}$$

$$|\mathrm{grad}\, \psi_0| \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^3) \cap L^{4/3}(\mathbf{R}^3),\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{\psi_0(x)\overline{\psi_0(y)}}{|x - y|} dx dy \neq -\frac{4\pi}{\lambda}.$$

Because of the first condition, there are exist the first continous derivations of the function $\hat{\psi}_0$ in all variables. Making use of the second and the third conditions and also the Hausdorff-Young inequality [3], we obtain the inclusions

$$\hat{\psi}_0(k) \in L^4(\mathbf{R}^3) \tag{5}$$

and

$$|\widehat{\partial\psi_0/\partial x_j}(p)| = |p_j\hat{\psi}_0(p)| \in L^4(\mathbf{R}^3),\ j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{6}$$

We need the following theorem (see [1]).

THEOREM 1. *Assume that the relation*

$$1 + \lambda(R_0(|k|)\psi_0, \psi_0) \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

*holds. Then there exists the unique solution of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (2) and*

$$f(|k|, n, \omega) = -\frac{2\pi^2\lambda\hat{\psi}_0(|k|n)\overline{\hat{\psi}_0(|k|\omega)}}{1 + \lambda(R_0(|k|)\psi_0, \psi_0)}. \tag{8}$$

We introduce the notation

$$F(|k|) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta f(|k|, \omega, \omega)$$

where $|k|, \phi, \theta$ are the spherical coordinates of the vector $\omega$. Further, set

$$\xi(|k|) = \pm|k|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta |\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi}_0(|k|, \phi, \theta)|^2. \tag{9}$$

Here ± is the sign of $\lambda$ (the argument of the function $\hat{\psi}_0$ is written in the spherical coordinates). We assume that the function $\xi$ is extended on the real axis as even function.

LEMMA 1 *The following relation*

$$F(|k|) = -\frac{4\pi^2\xi(|k|)}{|k|(2|k| + i\pi(1 + S)(\xi)(|k|)} \tag{10}$$

*holds.*

PROOF. It is obviously that the function $\xi(q)$ is continously differentiable and summable on the real axis. Therefore, in the folowing transformations the Sohotskii-Plemelj formula can be used . We also change the integration order and use that the function $\xi$ is even. Thus, we have

$$\lambda(R_0(|k|)\psi_0,\psi_0)=\lambda(R_0(|k|+i0)\psi_0,\psi_0)= \tag{11}$$

$$=\lambda(\frac{\hat{\psi}_0(p)}{p^2-(|k|+i0)^2},\hat{\psi}_0(p))=\lambda\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\frac{r^2\sin\theta|\hat{\psi}_0(r,\phi,\theta)|^2}{r^2-(|k|+i0)^2}d\phi d\theta dr=$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}}\frac{\xi(q)dq}{q^2-(|k|+i0)^2}=\frac{1}{2|k|}\int_{\mathbf{R}}\frac{\xi(q)dq}{q-|k|-i0}=$$

$$=\frac{1}{2|k|}(i\pi\xi(|k|)+\int_{\mathbf{R}}\frac{\xi(q)dq}{q-|k|})=\frac{i\pi}{2|k|}(1+S)(\xi)(|k|).$$

By (8) and (11), we obtain (10). The lemma is proved.

We note that the function $F$ can be extended to the whole real axis by means of the right-hand side of the relation (10). Further, if the denominator of the expression (10) is equal to zero, then the numerator of this expression is equal to zero too. Therefore, for all $q\in\mathbf{R}$ the relation (10) can be rewritten in the form

$$\pi(iqF(q)+4\pi)\xi(q)+i\pi qF(q)(S)(\xi)(q)=-2q^2F(q). \tag{12}$$

We introduce the notation

$$a(q)=2\pi(iqF(q)+2\pi),\ b(q)=4\pi^2.$$

Then (12) reduces to the equation

$$(\frac{a(q)}{2}(1+S)+\frac{b(q)}{2}(1-S))\xi(q)=-2q^2F(q). \tag{13}$$

## 3 Uniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem

Lemma 2. *The following relation*

$$(R_0(|k|)\psi_0,\psi_0)\to 0,\ |k|\to\infty$$

*holds.*

Proof. We have the relation

$$(R_0(|k|)\psi_0,\psi_0)=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^3}(\int_{\mathbf{R}^3}\frac{e^{i|k||x-y|}}{|x-y|}\psi_0(y)dy)\overline{\psi_0(x)}dx=$$

$$=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^3}(\int_{\mathbf{R}^3}\frac{e^{i|k||y|}}{|y|}\psi_0(x-y)dy)\overline{\psi_0(x)}dx=$$

$$=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^3}\frac{e^{i|k||y|}}{|y|}(\psi_0(-y)*\overline{\psi_0(y)})dy. \qquad (14)$$

It follows from the inclusion $\psi_0\in L^1(\mathbf{R}^3)\cap L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$ that

$$\psi_0(-y)*\overline{\psi_0(y)}\in L^1(\mathbf{R}^3)\cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{|y|}\psi_0(-y)*\overline{\psi_0(y)}\in L^1(\mathbf{R}^3). \qquad (15)$$

By virtue of (14),

$$(R_0(|k|)\psi_0,\psi_0)=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty e^{i|k|\rho}f(\rho)d\rho \qquad (16)$$

where

$$f(\rho)=\rho\int_0^{2\pi}d\psi\int_0^{\pi}d\theta\sin\theta(\psi_0(-(\cdot))*\overline{\psi_0(\cdot)})(\rho,\phi,\theta)\in L^1(0,\infty).$$

(The last inclusion follows from the relation (15) in the spherical coordinates). As well-known, the Fourier transform of the function beloning to $L^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$ tends to zero when its argument tends to infinity. In view of (16), lemma is proved.

Lemma 3. *The inclusion* $q^2F(q)\in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ *holds. In addition,*

$$q^2F(q)\to 0,\ |q|\to\infty.$$

PROOF. Because of (8),(9), we have

$$q^2F(q) = -\frac{2\pi^2\xi(q)}{1+\lambda(R_0(q)\psi_0,\psi_0)}. \tag{17}$$

Therefore, to prove the first part of the lemma, as follows from Lemma 2, it is sufficient to establish that $\xi \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$. Applying the Schwarz inequality to the relation (9), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\xi(q)|^2 dq \le C(\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta \int_0^{\infty} q^4 |\hat{\psi}_0(q,\phi,\theta)|^4 dq)^{1/2} =$$
$$= C(\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |p|^2 |\hat{\psi}_0(p)|^4 dp)^{1/2} \le C(\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |p|^4 |\hat{\psi}_0(p)|^4 dp)^{1/4} (\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |\hat{\psi}_0(p)|^4 dp)^{1/4}. \tag{18}$$

By (6), we have $|p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}_0(p)| \in L^4(\mathbf{R}^3)$. From here in view of the relation (5), it follows that the expression obtained in (18) is finite. This implies the inclusion $\xi \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$.

Now, we prove the second part of the lemma. According to the third condition in (4),

$$|\widehat{\frac{\partial\psi_0}{\partial x_j}}(0)| = |p_j \hat{\psi}_0(p)| \to 0,\ |p| \to \infty.$$

Thus, $|p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}_0(p)| \to 0$, as $|p| \to \infty$. By virtue of (9), we obtain that $\xi(q) \to 0$, as $|q| \to \infty$. Because of Lemma 2, this proves the desired result. The lemma is proved.

We mean by an index $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbf{R}}\, a(q)$ of a complex-valued function $a(q)$ the number of anticlockwise revolutions of the vector $a(q)$ in the complex plane when $q \in \mathbf{R}$ varies from $-\infty$ to $\infty$.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that for the given scattering amplitude $f$ the following relations*

$$iqF(q) + 2\pi \ne 0,\ q \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbf{R}}\,(iqF(q)+2\pi)\geq 0$$

*holds. Then, the scattering amplitude* $f$ *corresponds not more than one potential in the class of the potentials of the form* $V=\lambda(\cdot,\psi_0)\psi_0$ *where* $\psi_0$ *satisfies (4).*

PROOF. First, we prove that the function $qF(q)$ is continuous. Note, that the function $\hat{\psi}_0$ and, hence, the function $\xi$ has the continuous derivative. Further, the operator $S$ transform the Hölder functions into the ones. Consequently, the function $(S\xi)(q)$ is continous. It follows from here by virtue of the relations (17),(11), that the function $qF(q)$ is continuous for $q\neq 0$. The continuity of the function $qF(q)$ at zero is the consequence of the relations (17),(14) and the last condition in (4). Therefore, the function $a(q)$ in the Eq.(13) is continuous. In addition, by Lemma 3, the limits of the function $a(q)$ for $q\to\pm\infty$ are the same and the right-hand side of Eq.(13) belongs to $L^2(\mathbf{R})$. Thus, we can apply to this equation, taking into account the condition of the theorem, Th.6.1 in [2] for the space $L^2(\mathbf{R})$. It follows from this theorem, that the number of the solutions of Eq.(13) in the class $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ is not more than unity. Assume that the functions $\pm\sqrt{|\lambda_j|}\psi_{0j}$ where $\pm$ is the sign of $\lambda_j$ generate the potentials $V_j$, $j=1,2$, corresponding to the unique amplitude $f$. What has been said above, implies, in view of (9),(11), that $\mathrm{sign}\lambda_1=\mathrm{sign}\lambda_2$ and the denominators on the right-hand side of (8) for $\psi_0=\psi_{0j}$, $j=1,2$, are equel. Therefore, the correspondig numerators are equel. Setting in (8) $n=\omega$, we obtain that $|\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi}_{01}(q)|=|\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi}_{02}(q)|$. Let us write the quantities $\sqrt{|\lambda|}\hat{\psi}_{0j}(q)$ in the trigonometric form:

$$\sqrt{|\lambda|}\hat{\psi}_{0j}(q)=|\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi}_{0j}(q)|e^{i\theta_j(q)},$$

where $\theta_j(q)$ are the arguments of $\sqrt{|\lambda|}\hat{\psi}_{0j}(q)$. Then, in view of the above and

(8),

$$e^{i(\theta_1(q)-\theta_1(q_0))} = e^{i(\theta_2(q)-\theta_2(q_0))},$$

where $q = |k|n, q_0 = |k|\omega$. From this for the fixed $q_0$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{|\lambda|}\hat{\psi}_{01}(q) = \sqrt{|\lambda|}\hat{\psi}_{02}(q)e^{i\theta_0},$$

where $\theta_0 = \theta_1(q_0) - \theta_2(q_0)$. Therefore, the functions $\hat{\psi}_{0j}, j = 1, 2$ determinate not more than one potential. The theorem is proved.

COROLLARY. *Let* $|qF(q)| < 2\pi, q \in \mathbf{R}$*, then Th.1 is true.*

REMARK. The determination of the function $\psi_0$ via the scattering amplitude actually means the calculation of the solution of the singular integral equation (13). In the case $\kappa < 0$ the dimension of the space of the solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation coinsides with $|\kappa|$ [2]. Thus, in this case the Eq.(13) is not solved uniquely.

We note, that by virtue of Lemma 3, there exists $A > 0$ such that $|q| \geq A$ imlies

$$|\frac{iqF(q)}{iqF(q) + 4\pi}| \cdot \|S\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})} < 1. \tag{19}$$

THEOREM 2. *To any scattering amplitude* $f$ *there corresponds not more than one potential in the class of potentials of the form* $V = \lambda(\cdot, \psi_0)\psi_o$ *where* $\psi_0$ *satisfies (4) and also the condition*

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{\psi}_0 \subset [A, \infty).$$

PROOF. We rewrite Eq.(12) in the form

$$\xi(q) + \frac{iqF(q)}{iqF(q) + 4\pi}(S\xi)(q) = -\frac{2q^2F(q)}{\pi(iqF(q) + 4\pi)}.$$

We regard this equation in the class $L^2[A,\infty)$ changing $S\xi$ by $(S\xi)|_{[A,\infty)}$. By (19), this equation always has a unique solution. The completion of the proof can be derived similarly to Th.1.

Список литературы

*[1]* Newton R.G.: Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.

*[2]* Gohberg I.Ts., Krupnik N.Ya.: Introduction to Theory of One-Dimensional Singular Integral Operators. Kishinöv: Publishing Office "Shtinitsa", 1973 (in Russian).

*[3]* Reed, M., Simon, B.: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness. New York: Academic Press, 1975.

Chuburin Yurii Pavlovich

Physical-Technical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,

Ural Branch, Kirov Street 132, Izhevsk, 426001, Russia

Phone: (3412) 21-89-88

E-male: chuburin@otf.pti.udm.ru

KEY WORDS

Schrödinger Operator

separable potential

inverse scattering problem

singular integral equation